

Current state and development of the Shared Environmental Information System (SEIS)







July 2019

Republic of Kazakhstan

Authors

Written by:

Alexander Shekhovtsov

Edited by:

Nikolai Denisov, Filip Aggestam

Contact

Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Programme
United Nations Economic Commission for Europe
Palais des Nations
8-14 avenue de la Paix
CH-1211 Geneva 10, Switzerland
Email: wgemasec@un.org

Photos

The images in this publication have been taken from Pexels (www.pexels.com) and are subject to a Creative Commons Zero license.

Disclaimer

This is not an official publication of the United Nations. This gap analysis review is only available as an electronic report. The views expressed do not necessarily reflect the official position of the United Nations or the views of its Member States.

Contents

| BACKGROUND | 2 |
|---|----|
| IMPROVED ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND ASSESSMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE 2030 SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT AGENDA IN SOUTH-EASTERN EUROPE, CENTRAL ASIA AND THE CAUCASUS | 2 |
| INTRODUCTION | |
| STATUS AND DEVELOPMENT OF SEIS | 3 |
| SEIS PILLAR I CONTENT | 3 |
| SEIS PILLAR II INFRASTRUCTURE | 6 |
| SEIS PILLAR III COOPERATION | 6 |
| SEIS PRINCIPLES AND CONCLUSIONS | 7 |
| SDG MONITORING AND REPORTING FRAMEWORK | 8 |
| GAPS AND SUGGESTED ACTIONS | 11 |
| CONCLUSIONS | 13 |
| REFERENCES | 14 |
| ANNEX I EVALUATION OF SELECTED UNECE INDICATORS AGAINST THE CRITERIA OF THE SEIS ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK | 15 |
| ANNEX II STATUS AND ASSESSMENT OF SDG ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATORS | 17 |
| ANNEX III SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION | 28 |

BACKGROUND

IMPROVED ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND ASSESSMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE 2030 SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT AGENDA IN SOUTH-EASTERN EUROPE, CENTRAL ASIA AND THE CAUCASUS.

Led by the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE) and implemented together with the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), this project aims to strengthen the national capacities of seven target countries: Armenia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Georgia, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, North Macedonia and Tajikistan. The target countries have requested support to improve environmental monitoring and assessment for the 2030 Agenda, highlighting the need to enhance the comparability of environmental statistics in the ECE region.

The project will focus on the following expected accomplishments:

- strengthened capacities of national environmental authorities and statistical agencies to collect and produce required data and application of environmental indicators in accordance with the Shared Environmental Information System (SEIS) principles and practices;
- improved accessibility and use of regularly updated and high-quality environmental indicators, within the framework of SEIS, to respond to international indicator-based reporting obligations, including monitoring progress towards the Sustainable Development Goals.

The current report intends to address some of the national gaps and needs identified for this project on SEIS establishment and on the collection and management of environmental information and data for regular reporting, such as for the 2030 Agenda. The gap analysis also intends to address the use of environmental data and information in decision-making processes and communication.

The gap analysis review will serve multiple purposes, including defining existing gaps in data collection in the target country as a basis for developing training materials and as a background paper for two national workshops with national officials and experts responsible for environmental data collection. It will also contribute to the development of national roadmaps to monitor the SDGs for each target country to support country ownership and future endorsement and implementation.

This project is funded by the United Nations Development Account (UNDA) and implemented by UNECE Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Programme¹ in cooperation with the UNEP.

 $^{^{1}\,} See \, \underline{\text{http://www.unece.org/environmental-policy/environmental-monitoring-and-assessment/envema.html}$

INTRODUCTION

The Republic of Kazakhstan became an independent state after the fall of the Soviet Union in 1991. Kazakhstan is on the border of Eastern Europe and Asia with the greater part of the country in Asia.

Kazakhstan is the ninth largest country in the world by area. In administrative and territorial terms, the country is divided into 14 regions and 3 cities – Astana, Almaty and Shymkent – directly subordinate to the central government. The country is largely desert (44%) and semidesert (14%) with some mountain ranges and more than 48 000 lakes. The largest water bodies are the Caspian Sea, the Aral Sea and Lake Balkhash. The main rivers are the Irtish (length 1 700 km), the Ural, the Chu and the Syr Darya. Among Kazakhstan's 115 protected areas, which cover 9% of the country's area, four nature reserves and one national park are designated as UNESCO World Heritage Sites.

Kazakhstan's main environmental problems⁴ are:

- air pollution (due to emissions related to nonferrous metals, transport and gas flaring during oil and gas production);
- irrational use, and pollution of, freshwater bodies;
- shrinking of the Aral Sea, resulting in soil salination and erosion;
- radioactive contamination as a result of atomic tests on the Semipalatinsk test site;
- inadequate waste management.

The Ministry of Energy – the central executive body of the Government of Kazakhstan – develops and implements state policy and coordinates environmental protection, natural resources management, solid waste management, the development of renewable energy sources and state-controlled development policies for the green economy.

Kazakhstan was among the first countries to adopt a strategy for developing a green economy. "The Concept of transition of the Republic of Kazakhstan to green economy" (1)⁵ outlines the basis for deep systemic changes related to welfare gains, improvement in the quality of life and the inclusion of the country in the 30 most developed countries of the world – all with minimal environmental impact and natural resources degradation.

STATUS AND DEVELOPMENT OF SEIS

A Shared Environmental Information System rests on three pillars – content, infrastructure and cooperation – and this assessment considers each in turn.

SEIS PILLAR I CONTENT

Current system of collection of environmental data

At the country level, the main organizations responsible for collection, production, storage, processing and availability of environmental data are:

² See http://luckycamper.net/country/казахстан/все-о-казахстане/5810-географическое-положение-казахстана

³ See https://studwood.ru/1153409/ekologiya/ploschadi osobo ohranyaemyh prirodnyh territoriy .

⁴ See https://www.nur.kz/1666860-ekologicheskie-problemy-kazakhstana.html

⁵ Reference materials are indicated by a number in parentheses and listed at the end of the report

- Ministry of Energy (Minenergo of Kazakhstan);
- Ministry of National Economy (MNE of Kazakhstan);
- Ministry of Agriculture (Minselkhoz of Kazakhstan).

The main organizations performing monitoring in the country are:

- State agency Kazhydromet under Minenergo (quality of atmospheric air, surface water, soil, meteorological and hydrological parameters);
- Statistics Committee (SC) under MNE (statistical data on emissions to atmospheric air, water supply and sewerage, transport, energy and household wastes);
- Committee on water resources under Minselkhoz (abstraction and use of water resources) and Forestry and wildlife committee (protected areas, preservation of forest, plant and animal resources).

Environmental data are stored in the information systems of the organizations and agencies responsible for their collection. In addition, the former Ministry of Environmental Protection established the State Fund of Environmental Information (SFEI) in November of 2009 for the purposes of centralized storage of environmental information (2).

The collection of environmental information is subject to the law, "On state statistics in the Republic of Kazakhstan". The Ministry of Energy's strategic plan provides for extending the range of environmental monitoring through the use of automated systems (3).

Production of environmental indicators

The UNECE environmental indicators are published on "Environmental monitoring and assessment indicators", the integrated platform of Minenergo and SC. The platform provides the data in Kazakh and Russian.⁶ The quality and completeness of environmental information in Kazakhstan meet modern user requirements, and most environmental indicators are presented online.

Kazakhstan's reporting, evaluation and use of indicators are more advanced than the neighbouring countries of Central Asia, and may serve as a basis for knowledge and experience sharing (4).

Kazakhstan collects basic data on waste generation, but reliable measurements and the proper categorization of waste by type – household and building wastes, for example – remains problematic, as do determinations of how much of each type of waste is recycled. The accounting for industrial waste, on the other hand, is more reliable. Those involved in waste management in Kazakhstan include public authorities, private enterprises and workers in the informal sector, and any improvements in the overall waste management system will necessarily entail efforts to improve coordination among these groups.

The 36 UNECE environmental indicators published on the main page of the Statistics Committee website (20 from the main set and 16 additional) have been analyzed using the SEIS quality criteria.

The analysis reveals the following (see Annex I for detailed results):

- 40% of the indicators do not fully meet the accuracy criterion, and some indicators do not have all required data flows and sources;

-

⁶ See

http://stat.gov.kz/faces/homePage?c404=1&_afrLoop=1209146582499254#%40%3F_afrLoop%3D1209146582499254%26c404%3D1%26_adf.ctrl-state%3D1197m3l2ml

- 60% of the indicators are missing relevance information, and some indicators do not show the possibilities of wide application and geographical coverage;
- 90% of the indicators meet the punctuality and timeliness criteria, and in Excel, the indicators are updated regularly, but the interactive time series ends in 2015;
- the majority of the main set of indicators are available in user-friendly formats;
- 40% of the indicators lack metadata, visualization, narrative assessments and recommendations on use in state environmental policy;
- 70% of the indicators do not include references to the international methods of calculations and have interruptions in historical series.

In addition, the main set of indicators is missing "Water supply industry", "Population, connected to wastewater treatment" and "Wastewater treatment facilities". The "Freshwater abstraction" and "Total water use" indicators are combined; "Water supply industry and population connected to water supply industry" and "Household water use per capita" are combined; and "Population connected to wastewater treatment" and "Wastewater treatment facilities" are combined with "Polluted (non-treated) wastewaters".

The Statistics Committee of the MNE conducts an annual survey of users of statistical information. In 2018, 88% of users reported a high level of confidence in state statistics.

In the 2018 mid-term UNECE SEIS review, Kazakhstan had a score of "good" performance for seven data streams, the highest number of all the Central Asian countries (5).

The absence of information over the Internet and the limited possibilities of direct contacts with specialists and organizations in Kazakhstan did not allow the evaluation of indicators using the following SEIS quality criteria:

- systematic comparison of the data used with data from another source;
- the use of data validation and revision procedures;
- the availability of the state agencies' primary data for the users.

Kazakhstan is strengthening its cooperation with OECD for implementation of a green economy. By 2016, Kazakhstan had developed 30 of the 54 UNECE green growth indicators, and by 2018, it had developed 38. The indicators are updated annually and published on the official SC website.⁸ See Annex III for additional information.

Use of environmental information

In accordance with Government Decree No 589 dated 13.10.2016, ⁹ SFEI provides state bodies, legal entities and individuals with reliable information on the state of the environment, environmental impact, protection measures and pollution prevention and the use of natural resources. The statistical books "Environment protection and sustainable development of Kazakhstan" are published annually. The last statistical book (for 2013-2017) presents the data for 36 environmental indicators recommended by UNECE and the green growth indicators recommended by OECD (6).

_

⁷ See http://economy.gov.kz/ru/kategorii/strategicheskiy-plan-1

⁸ See http://economy.gov.kz/ru/pages/040517-statya-zelenaya-ekonomika-standarty-oesr-aday-nygmanov

⁹ See http://ecogosfond.kz

A national report on the state of environment and the use of natural resources is prepared and disseminated annually (7). Its development is regulated by Government Decree No 673 dated 07.12.2016. An interdepartmental working group prepares national reports annually.

SEIS PILLAR II INFRASTRUCTURE

Data collection

Kazakhstan continues to work on developing a monitoring system that includes automation, but the high start-up costs of automated systems limit their implementation on a national scale.

As of 2017, atmospheric air monitoring was carried out at 90 automated posts and 14 mobile laboratories. ¹¹

More complete implementation of the SEIS principles and additions to the list of environmental indicators are expected with the further automation of the systems (2).

Processing and analysis

Kazakhstan is introducing electronic portals to serve the public, state agencies and other organizations. Minenergo initiated the development of a GIS-based system for the inventory of natural resources for internal and inter-agency use (2).

Dissemination of environmental information

Eighteen of the 36 UNECE environmental indicators used by Kazakhstan are published and accessible on "Environmental monitoring and assessment indicators", an integrated interactive platform.

The physical size of the country and the importance of local environmental issues suggest that state agencies and civil society institutions should make maximum use of the Internet to disseminate local environmental information (2).

Based on the UNECE environmental indicators, Minenergo – with the support of UNEP – prepared an interactive electronic version of the last national report on the state of the environment and use of natural resources.¹²

SEIS PILLAR III COOPERATION

Basis and practice of inter-agency exchange of environmental information

Kazakhstan has established information-sharing mechanisms including SFEI maintenance and regular preparation of national reports on the state of environment and the use of natural resources.

¹⁰ See https://online.zakon.kz/Document/?doc id=38198035#pos=0;0

¹¹ See https://kazhydromet.kz/ru/p/monitoring-sostoania-okruzausej-sredy

¹² See http://newecodoklad.ecogosfond.kz/

At the national level, Kazakhstan is strengthening institutional cooperation based on the August 2012 joint order signed by the Agency of Statistics and the Ministry of Environmental Protection providing for the exchange of data and information between these organizations.¹³

Inter-agency working groups and memorandums of understanding have also been established to formalize such cooperation and fulfil commitments related to the public availability of the main set of UNECE environmental indicators used in the country.

Environmental and statistical agencies have been sharing environmental data. The distribution of authorities and the system of internal reporting obligations (both statistical and environmental) are performing well.

Some gaps are related to incomplete reporting or accounting and calculation difficulties with some environmental topics and indicators such as solid household and industrial non-hazardous waste and greenhouse gases (2).

Inter-sectoral exchange: producers vs. users of information

The main users of environmental information are the Government of Kazakhstan, environmental authorities, natural resource users, scientific institutions, higher education institutions, non-governmental local and international environmental organizations, the mass media and the general public.

The most popular kinds of information are the official annual analyses of the state of the environment; topical reviews (waste, biodiversity, energy); reports to international organizations; analyses of the state of the environment of cities, regions and river basins; and annual materials on protected areas, waste, forests and land resources (2).

The growing network and capabilities of the Aarhus Centre increase public environmental awareness and make the available information more accessible (4).

International exchange and reporting

Kazakhstan is a party to 267 international conventions and agreements on environmental protection, and is involved in a number of international and regional processes.

Most of the reports on international conventions are available in Kazakh and Russian. Some of the reports are duplicated on local websites, and the other reports are available through links on the relevant international websites (2).

Kazakhstan is a member of the Interstate Ecological Council of the CIS, which contributes to the establishment of an interstate environmental monitoring system for the collection, assessment, forecast and exchange of environmental information.

SEIS PRINCIPLES AND CONCLUSIONS

Kazakhstan has achieved progress in establishing and implementing the SEIS elements of content, infrastructure and cooperation. With the support of the UN and the European Union, Kazakhstan takes

_

¹³ See http://online.zakon.kz/

an active part in the processes related to the UNECE indicators and projects related to SEIS. The country is the leader in the production and dissemination of environmental information in the region.

The analysis carried out by the Zoï Environment Network (2) rates as positive the implementation of the following SEIS principles:

- "information is managed as close as possible to its source";
- "information is readily available to easily fulfil reporting obligations."

The following SEIS principles are implemented at a satisfactory level:

- "information is collected once and shared with others for many purposes" (the information is provided partially, upon request, on a paid basis);
- "information is easily accessible to all users";
- "information is accessible to enable comparisons at the appropriate geographical scale and the participation of citizens" (the information is provided upon request, on a paid basis);
- "Information is fully available to the general public and at national level in the relevant national language(s)." (limited scope of information in Kazakh).

The development of SEIS at the national level is facilitated by the following (4):

- the gradual introduction of electronic management, processing and exchange of official digital documents, open data policies and data portals at the national level;
- general IT progress, development of institutional and project websites, growth of Internet accessibility and of the number of Internet users;
- digitization of source information such as inventories of flora, fauna and soil, forests, historic hydrological and climatic series.

Achieving success in creating SEIS also contributes to the production, availability and open exchange of regionally important environmental information as well as participation in regional assessments and environmental information exchange processes.

SDG MONITORING AND REPORTING FRAMEWORK

Country approach to Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) reporting

For Kazakhstan, the introduction of the SDG indicators and calculation methods enables systemic adaptation of strategic planning and monitoring to international standards and global development goals, and is consistent with the country's "Strategy 2050".¹⁴

National and sectoral plans already cover 61% of the SDGs objectives.

Kazakhstan was selected by the United Nations Development Group (UNDG) as one of 50 countries to hold national consultations on the post-2015 global sustainable development agenda. The UNDG compiled the 50 country contributions into a report, "The Future We Want", which focused on the following issues (8):

- loss of environmental and natural resources;
- effective use of natural resources;

¹⁴ See http://www.mfa.kz/ru/bern/content-view/strategia-kazahstan-2050-12

- waste disposal and recycling;
- reduction of carbon emissions.

The creation of an international centre for green technologies and investment projects with the assistance of the UN is a substantive contribution of Kazakhstan to international efforts for SDG implementation.¹⁵

In July 2019, at the High-level Political Forum on Sustainable Development in New York, Kazakhstan is expected to present the first Voluntary National Review on "Empowering People and Ensuring Integration and Equality" to achieve SDGs 4, 8, 10, 13, 16, 17. ¹⁶

A specially created interdepartmental working group on the implementation of indicators for SDG monitoring is developing a system of indicators that includes both global and national indicators, taking into account the priorities of Kazakhstan.¹⁷

The Statistics Committee plays a key role in creating a monitoring system for the SDGs, and coordinates and closely cooperates with all producers and users of data in the collection and dissemination of relevant data.

Based on the global list of UN indicators, the SC in 2018 developed the first draft of a system of indicators to monitor the achievement of the SDGs in Kazakhstan, taking into account national development priorities. The project consisted of 257 indicators, including:

- 175 global indicators adopted without change;
- 34 global indicators adopted with minor changes;
- 35 alternative national indicators;
- 13 additional national indicators.¹⁸

In the same time frame, an assessment related to the availability of data for the global SDG indicators showed that out of 231 global SDG indicators:

- 74 (32%) were produced;
- 32 (14%) were not produced, but there were basic data for the calculation;
- 125 (54%) were absent.

Overview of the readiness of UNECE indicators for SDGs monitoring and reporting

According to this UNDA assessment, 33 global environmental indicators from the SDG set can be used to monitor SDG attainment in Kazakhstan (See Annex II).

Of these 33 indicators:

- 6 national indicators are fully consistent with the SDGs global indicators without changes;
- 7 national indicators have been developed with minor changes;
- 7 alternative national indicators have been developed;

http://stat.gov.kz/faces/wcnav_externalId/mainR_SDG_goals?_afrLoop=5320245882757042#%40%3F_afrLoop%3D5320245882757042%26_adf.ctrl-state%3Dkjc5yj39a_42).

¹⁵ See http://egov.kz/cms/ru)

¹⁶ See http://economy.gov.kz)

¹⁷ See http://egov.kz/cms/ru/articles/development goals

¹⁸ See

5 additional national indicators have been developed.

National indicators have not been developed for 15 global SDG indicators, but metadata are available for 11 of these.

One global indicator, 14.5.1 "Coverage of protected areas in relation to marine areas", is missing from the list of national indicators. 19

The analysis shows that the UNECE environmental indicators were used for all four groups of national indicators developed. They include monitoring data for the period from 2010 (for some indicators) through 2017, and are supplemented with metadata and references to the data sources and to the government agencies responsible for generating the indicators. The exceptions are four alternative national indicators related to waste management (12.4.2.1; 12.4.2.2; 12.5.1.1; 12.5.1.2) for which data sources are not specified and metadata are absent (See Annex II).

Among the UNECE environmental indicators that Kazakhstan does not use to develop national SDG indicators are "Polluted (non-treated) wastewaters" for the global SDG indicator 6.3.1 "Proportion of wastewater safely treated"; "Renewable freshwater resources" and "Freshwater abstraction" for the global SDG indicator 6.4.2 "Level of water stress: freshwater withdrawal as a proportion of available freshwater resources" as well as a number of other global SDG indicators.

The list of national environmental indicators is presented in Kazakh and Russian.

¹⁹ For this global indicator, an alternative national indicator "The share of the state reserve zone of the northern part of the Caspian Sea, lake ecosystems of the total share of protected areas" has been adopted as relevant for Kazakhstan.

GAPS AND SUGGESTED ACTIONS

The table below summarizes the gaps in Kazakhstan's environmental information, and suggests actions for moving forward. The country needs to take the lead on the longer-term actions, some of which may require long-term support from the international community. The short-term actions can and should occur quickly, supported in some cases by international partners through the UNDA project.

| Gaps | Long-term actions not directly associated with the UNDA Project | Short-term actions which can be taken by UNDA Project partners |
|--|---|---|
| Absence of some indicators in the main set of environmental indicators | Expand the use of the UNECE environmental indicator set | Methodological assistance to expand the application of UNECE environmental indicators |
| Incomplete reporting or difficulties with waste indicator accounting | Develop an effective system of accounting and reporting for the waste indicators | |
| Not all requirements met for all indicators – not all required data flows defined; sources of data not indicated; no additional information; the possibilities of wide application not shown; geographical coverage insufficient; no metadata, visualization tools, narrative analysis, or recommendations on use for environmental policy | Ensure full compliance with the requirements of the SEIS indicator criteria | Operational and methodological assistance to ensure the quality of SEIS environmental indicators |
| Automated systems for measurement of fine particle content in emissions and atmospheric air not implemented | Develop and implement the automated systems and modern methods for measurement of fine particle content in emissions and atmospheric air | |
| Insufficient level of implementation of modern, international methods of analysis, calculations and recommendations in environmental monitoring Not all UNECE environmental indicators developed for interactive use | Increase the level of implementation of modern, international methods of analysis, calculations and recommendations in environmental monitoring Provide interactive access to the UNECE environmental indicators | Training to maintain the required level of expertise and the use of international standards in the implementation of environmental monitoring |

| Incomplete required data sets for a number of the UNECE environmental indicators | Increase the number of data sets for the UNECE environmental indicators | Methodological assistance to ensure the compliance of national indicators with the UNECE environmental indicator set and methodology |
|---|---|--|
| Environmental indicators not fully used in the preparation of reports on conventions and intergovernmental agreements National indicators not yet developed for separate global SDG environmental indicators | Increase the use of environmental indicators for reporting on conventions and intergovernmental agreements Use the UNECE environmental indicators for development of undeveloped nationalized SDG indicators | |
| "Coverage of protected areas in relation to marine areas", global SDG indicator 14.5.1, missing from the list of national indicators | Include the global SDG indicator 14.5.1, "Coverage of protected areas in relation to marine areas", on the list of national indicators | |

CONCLUSIONS

Kazakhstan is the leading Central Asia country in terms of the quality and completeness of environmental information produced. Its advanced approaches to reporting, assessments and indicators can serve as a platform for sharing knowledge and experience.

In the short term, the UNDA project may be able to support Kazakhstan through advice and operational and methodological guidance on the development of the national environmental information system, and on monitoring, indicators and environmental assessment and reporting. This support may include training on the best international and European practices for the staff of the responsible organizations.

The analysis of the main set of UNECE environmental indicators using the SEIS quality criteria in Kazakhstan considers 36 indicators out of 49 that are on the integrated platform, and finds that in the development and implementation of environmental indicators, improvements in such quality criteria as accuracy, relevance, clarity and comparability are necessary.

Kazakhstan has established the legal framework and mechanisms for inter-agency information sharing, including the regular preparation of national reports on the state of the environment and the use of natural resources.

Kazakhstan has made progress in establishing and implementing SEIS. The evaluation finds that the implementation of all seven SEIS principles is positive or satisfactory. Advances in the creation of SEIS are based on the production, availability and open exchange of regionally important environmental information, as well as actual participation in regional assessments and the exchange of environmental information.

The 2018 draft SDG monitoring system consisted of 257 indicators – 175 global indicators adopted without changes, 34 global indicators adopted with minor changes, 35 alternative national indicators proposed in place of global indicators, and 13 additional national indicators. For all four groups of national indicators, UNECE environmental indicators were used.

Kazakhstan is cooperating with the OECD on the transition to a green economy through increased welfare and better quality of life while minimizing the impact on the environment and the degradation of natural resources. In 2018, 38 out of 54 OECD green growth indicators were developed in the country. Currently, the SC project, "Introduction of Green Growth Indicators and Preparation of the Report on Green Growth in Kazakhstan", together with the OECD, is working to expand the green growth indicators.

REFERENCES

- 1. Концепция по переходу Республики Казахстан к «зеленой экономике» утверждена Указом Президента Республики Казахстан 30 мая 2013 года. Астана
- 2. Казахстан. Экологическая информация экологической сети Zoï. Август 2017
- 3. Стратегический план Министерства энергетики Республики Казахстан на 2017-2021 годы. Астана
- 4. 26 декабря 2016
- 5. SEIS synthesis Central Asia. Development of the Shared Environmental Information Systems in Central Asia. Regional synthesis. 20 September 2017
- 6. United Nations Economic Commission for Europe.Committee on Environmental Policy.Working Group on Environmental Monitoring and Assessment. Draft mid-term review of the establishment of the Shared Environmental Information System. ECE/CEP/AC.10/2018/6. 28 June 2018, Geneva
- 7. Статистический комитет. Охрана окружающей среды и устойчивое развитие Казахстана.2013-2017. Статистический сборник. Астана 2018
- 8. Министерство энергетики Республики Казахстан. Национальный доклад о состоянии окружающей среды и об использовании природных ресурсов Республики Казахстан за 2017 год. Астана 2018
- 9. United Nations. Post 2015. The Future We Want. Consultations in Kazakhstan. New York

ANNEX I EVALUATION OF SELECTED UNECE INDICATORS AGAINST THE CRITERIA OF THE SEIS ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK

| | | C | ore indica | tors | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|-----------|----------------------------------|--------------------|---------|-------------------|---------------------------------|
| Indicators (no. of data flows) | Accuracy | Relevance | Timeliness & punctu- ality | Accessi- bility | Clarity | Comparabil ity | Inst / org arrange- ments |
| Air emissions (14) | +/- | +/- | + | + | + | +/- | |
| Air quality (4) | + | +/- | + | + | + | +/- | |
| OSD consumption (8) | + | + | + | + | + | + | |
| Air temperature (1) | + | +/- | + | + | -/+ | + | |
| Precipitation (1) | + | +/- | + | + | +/- | + | |
| GHG emissions (2) | + | + | +/- | + | + | + | |
| Renewable water res (1) | + | + | + | + | + | +/- | |
| Water abstraction (3) | +/- | + | + | + | + | +/- | |
| Water use (4) | +/- | + | + | + | +/- | +/- | |
| Water supply (1) | n/d | n/d | n/d | n/d | n/d | n/d | |
| BOD and NH4 in rivers (2) | + | +/- | + | + | +/- | +/- | |
| Nutrients in freshwater (5) | + | +/- | + | + | + | -/+ | |
| Pop. connected to WWT (1) | n/d | n/d | n/d | n/d | n/d | n/d | |
| WWT facilities (1) | n/d | n/d | n/d | n/d | n/d | n/d | |
| Polluted waste water (2) | + | +/- | + | + | -/+ | +/- | |
| Protected areas (1) | + | + | + | + | + | +/- | |
| Forests and woodland (1) | +/- | +/- | + | + | -/+ | -/+ | |
| Threatened and protect. species (2) | +/- | +/- | -/+ | + | + | -/+ | |
| Land uptake (2) | +/- | +/- | + | + | -/+ | -/+ | |
| Final energy consumption (2) | + | + | + | + | + | + | |
| Primary energy supply (2) | +/- | +/- | + | + | + | + | |
| Waste generation (2) | + | + | + | + | + | +/- | |

| Hazardous waste management (6) | +/- | +/- | + | + | -/+ | +/- | |
|--|-----|------|------------|---------|-----|-----|--|
| | | Addi | tional ind | icators | | | |
| Household water use per capita (3) | + | + | +/- | + | + | +/- | |
| Water losses (3) | -/+ | +/- | +/- | + | -/+ | +/- | |
| Reuse and rec. of freshwater (2) | -/+ | -/+ | +/- | + | -/+ | +/- | |
| Drinking water quality (4) | +/- | -/+ | +/- | + | -/+ | +/- | |
| Nutrients in coastal seawaters (2) | -/+ | -/+ | +/- | + | -/+ | +/- | |
| Trends in the number and distribution of selected species (4) | +/- | +/- | +/- | + | -/+ | +/- | |
| Area affected by soil erosion (2) | + | -/+ | + | + | -/+ | +/- | |
| Fertilizer consumption (4) | + | + | +/- | + | + | +/- | |
| Pesticide consumption (3) | +/- | +/- | +/- | + | -/+ | +/- | |
| Energy intensity (3) | -/+ | -/+ | +/- | +/- | + | +/- | |
| Renewable energy consumption (2) | - | - | +/- | +/- | + | +/- | |
| Passenger transport (3) | + | + | +/- | + | + | +/- | |
| Freight transport (3) | +/- | +/- | +/- | + | -/+ | +/- | |
| Composition of road motor vehicle fleet by fuel type (5) | -/+ | - | +/- | + | -/+ | +/- | |
| Age of road motor vehicle fleet (5) | -/+ | - | +/- | + | -/+ | +/- | |
| Waste reuse and recycling (3) | +/- | +/- | +/- | + | -/+ | +/- | |

THE APPLIED RATING SCALE

- + all is well
- +/- not all is well
- -/+ all is not that well
- all is not well

Explanations of the criteria and further analysis are provided in Annex III.

All the indicators are available on a single platform "Ecological indicators for monitoring and environmental assessment" of the Ministry of National Economy of the Republic of Kazakhstan and the Committee on Statistics: http://stat.gov.kz/faces/homePage/ecolog?gadf.ctrl-state=lapabcdfk 58& afrLoop=1873999154126416#%40%3F afrLoop%3D1873999154126416%26_adf.ctrl-state%3D7zwyxm49l 155.

ANNEX II STATUS AND ASSESSMENT OF SDG ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATORS

| Kazakhstan | | |
|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| - | | • |
| • | • • • | eather, drought, flooding and other |
| disasters an | | |
| | No data | F1. Irrigation |
| | | (indicator is not currently |
| | | developed); |
| | | F2. Fertilizer consumption; |
| | | F3. Gross nitrogen balance |
| | | (indicator is not currently |
| | | developed). |
| substantially reduce the number | r of deaths and illnesses from hazardous chemicals and contamination | air, water and soil pollution and |
| | No data | A1. Emissions of pollutants |
| | | into the atmospheric air; |
| | | A2. Ambient air quality in |
| | | urban areas. |
| t | ain ecosystems, that strengthen disasters an | |

| 3.9.2 Mortality rate | National indicator corresponds to | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | C5. Water supply industry and |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|------|---------------------------------|
| attributed to unsafe | the global indicator | | | | | | | | | population connected to water |
| water, unsafe | (per 100 000 thousand | | | | | | | | | supply industry; |
| sanitation and lack of | population) | | | | | | | | | C6. Connection of population to |
| hygiene | | | | | | | | | | public water supply; |
| | - Total: | 0,59 | 0,80 | 0,43 | 0.44 | 0,37 | 0,54 | 0,70 | 0,90 | C9. Drinking water quality; |
| | - men: | 0,53 | 0,83 | 0,40 | 0,48 | 0,21 | 0,58 | 0,57 | 0,53 | C14. Population connected to |
| | - women: | 0,67 | 0,77 | 0,46 | 0,39 | 0,57 | 0,49 | 0,86 | 1,40 | wastewater treatment |
| | - urban population: | 0,72 | 0,91 | 0,48 | 0,51 | 0,36 | 0,52 | 0,69 | 0,82 | |
| | - men: | 0,74 | 1,04 | 0,53 | 0,62 | 0,24 | 0,62 | 0,46 | 0,58 | |
| | - women: | 0,70 | 0,77 | 0,42 | 0,39 | 0,50 | 0 39 | 0,97 | 1,14 | |
| | - rural population: | 0,47 | 0,70 | 0,38 | 0,37 | 0,38 | 0,56 | 0,71 | 0,98 | |
| | - men: | 0,34 | 0,64 | 0,29 | 0,36 | 0,18 | 0,55 | 0,67 | 0,49 | |
| | - women: | 0,64 | 0,77 | 0,50 | 0,39 | 0,65 | 0,58 | 0,76 | 1,67 | |
| 3.9.3 Mortality from | National indicator corresponds to | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | F4. Pesticide consumption |
| unintentional poisoning | the global indicator | | | | | | | | | |
| | (per 100 000 thousand | | | | | | | | | |
| | population) | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 7,12 | 5,94 | 5,81 | 4,60 | 4,32 | 3,71 | 3,10 | 2,89 | |
| | - Total: | 8,64 | 7,29 | 7,12 | 5,50 | 4,70 | 3,98 | 3,05 | 3,05 | |
| | - men: | 5,29 | 4,32 | 4,22 | 3,49 | 3,83 | 3,37 | 3,16 | 2,68 | |
| | - women: | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 10,54 | 8,67 | 8,79 | 6,81 | 6,23 | 5,23 | 4, 37 | 3,96 | |
| | - urban population: | 13,28 | 11,30 | 11,00 | 8,67 | 7,25 | 6,01 | 4,55 | 4,44 | |
| | - men: | 7,46 | 5,69 | 6, 28 | 4,69 | 5,02 | 4,26 | 4,15 | 3,37 | |
| | - women: | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 3,94 | 3,41 | 3,03 | 2, 53 | 2,53 | 2,29 | 1,90 | 1,88 | |
| | - rural population: | 4,57 | 3,76 | 3,70 | 2,72 | 2,45 | 2,17 | 1,71 | 1,81 | |
| | - men: | 3,14 | 2,95 | 2,16 | 2,29 | 2,64 | 2,47 | 2,17 | 1,98 | |

| | - women:. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------|------------|------------|----------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------------------|---|
| S | DG target 6.1 By 2030, achieve unive | ersal and | equita | ıble acc | cess to | safe a | nd affo | rdable | drinking w | rater for all |
| 6.1.1 Proportion of population using safely managed drinking water services | 6.1.1.1. The share of population provided with tap water (in %) 6.1.1.2. The proportion of the total area equipped with water supply (in %) - running water in the house: | | | 87,7 | 2013 89,6 57,2 | 90,4 | 90,9 | 91,4 | 6 2017 92,0 63,5 | C5. Water supply industry and population connected to water supply industry; C6. Connection of population to public water supply; C9. Drinking water quality. |
| | 6.1.1.3. Specific weight of the total area not equipped with water supply (in %): - running water outside the house (well, column or other source of water supply): | - | - | 35,0 | 35,9 | 35,9 | 36,2 | 36,4 | 36,0 | |
| | 6.1.1.4.The quality of drinking water of centralized water supply facilities (the proportion of tap water samples, inconsistent standards) (in %) - on sanitary and chemical indicators: - on microbiological indicators: | 2,4 1,7 | 1,7 1,3 | 2,0 1,5 | 1,5 1,2 | 2,2 1,5 | 2,0 2,0 | 3,3 2,6 | 3,4 2,4 | |

| | The quality of drinking water facilities decentralized water supply (the proportion of tap water samples inconsistent the standards) - on sanitary and chemical indicators: - on microbiological indicators: | - | | 10,4 14,5 | | 20,9 1 20,4 1 | - | • | 19,2 18,0 | |
|--|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|---|
| SDG target 6.2 By 2030 | achieve access to adequate and equ | itable sa | nitatio | n and l | hygiene | for all | and en | d open | defecatio | n, paying special attention to the |
| | needs of won | | | | | | | | | |
| 6.2.1 Proportion of population using safely managed sanitation services, including a hand-washing facility with soap and water | 6.2.1. The proportion of the total area equipped with a bath or shower in (%) | 2012 | 39 |)13 | 2014 | 201 | | | 2017 | C4. Household water use per capita; C5. Water supply industry and population connected to water supply industry; C14. Population connected to |
| | | | | | | | | | | wastewater treatment. |
| - | , improve water quality by reducing | • | | _ | - | _ | | _ | | |
| | alving the proportion of untreated w | astewat | | | ntially i | ncreasi | ng recy | cling ar | nd safe re | |
| 6.3.1 Proportion of wastewater safely treated | | | No dat | :a | | | | | | C16. Polluted (non-treated) wastewaters |
| 6.3.2 Proportion of bodies of water with good ambient water quality | 6.3.2.1. Number of reservoirs with good water quality (units)^ 6.3.2.2. Complex Water Quality | 2010 13 | 2011 16 | 2012 23 | 2013 25 | 2014 18 | 2015 10 | 2016 10 | 2017 6 | C10. BOD and concentration of ammonium in rivers; C11. Nutrients in freshwater |
| | Index; the degree of contamination (in %) - regulatory clean: - moderately polluted: | 14,9 62,1 | 17,8 58,9 | 21,5 45,8 | 23,0 47,7 | 16,2 44,1 | 9,3 59,3 | 7,4 54,8 | 4,4 70,1 | |

| | SDG target 7.2 By 2030, increase su | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> |
|--|---|--------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|---------|-----------|-----------|----------------------------------|
| to electricity | cicculative (iii 70). | 30,4 | | .00 | 100 | 100 | , 1 | .00 | 100 | developed). |
| to electricity | electricity (in %): | 98,4 | L 1 | 100 | 100 | 100 |) 1 | .00 | 100 | (indicator is not currently |
| 7.1.1 Proportion of population with access | 7.1.1.1. The proportion of the total area equipped with | 201. | Z | 012 | 2014 | 201 | .J 2 | 010 | 2017 | consumption |
| 7 1 1 Droportion of | 7.1.1.1 The properties of the | and n 201 | | energy 013 | 2014 | es 201 | E 7 | 016 | 2017 | G5. Final electricity |
| | SDG target 7.1 By 2 | | | | | | ordabl | e, relia | ble | |
| | | | | | | | | | | developed) |
| | | | | | | | | | | (indicator is not currently |
| | | | | | | | | | | importance. |
| ecosystems over time | | | | | | | | | | wetlands of international |
| extent of water-related | | | 30 | | | | | | | D2. Biosphere reserves and |
| 6.6.1 Change in the | ,, p. 5555 a.i.a. 1555. 5 Water 1 | | No da | | | | , . | | | D1. Protected areas; |
| | By 2020, protect and restore water-re | elated e | ecosyste | ems. in | luding | mounta | ains, f | orests. v | wetlands. | rivers, aquifers and lakes |
| proportion of available freshwater resources | | | | | | | | | | |
| withdrawal as a | | | | | | | | | | C2. Freshwater abstraction. |
| Stress: freshwater | | | | | | | | | | resources; |
| 6.4.2 Level of Water | | | No da | ta | | | | | | C1. Renewable freshwater |
| | | | | | | | | | | C7. Water losses. |
| | | | | | | | | | | capita; |
| use efficiency over time | | | | | | | | | | C4. Household water use per |
| 6.4.1 Change in water | | | No da | ta | | | | | | C3. Total water use; |
| | address water scarcity and substa | ntially | reduce | the nur | nber of | people | suffe | ring fro | m water | scarcity |
| SDG target 6.4 By 203 | 0, substantially increase water-use e | fficienc | y acros | | tors an | d ensur | e sust | ainable | withdrav | wals and supply of freshwater to |
| | - extremely highly contaminated: | 1,2 | 2,2 | 0,9 | _ | 1,8 | 0,9 | 0,7 | 2,2 | |
| | - highly contaminated: | - | - | - | - | _,, | 30,5 | 37,0 | 23,4 | |
| | - very dirty: | 3,4 | 1,1 | 1,9 | 8,2 | 2,7 | _ | _ | _ | |
| | - contaminated: - dirty: | 13,8 4,6 | 14,4 5,6 | 24,3 5,5 | 15,6 5,5 | 25,2 9,9 | | _ | _ | |

| 7.2.1 1 Renewable | 7.2.1.1. The share of electricity of | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 3 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | G1. Final energy consumption; |
|------------------------|--|-----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|---------------------------------|
| energy share in the | the energy generated from | | | | | | | | | G4. Renewable energy |
| total final energy | renewable sources in the total | | | | | | | | | consumption. |
| consumption | energy production (in %) | - | 9,1 | 8,4 | 8,1 | 8,7 | 10,3 | 12,7 | 11,3 | |
| | SDG target 7.3 By 2030 d | ouble tl | ne glob | al rate | of impr | ovemen | t in ene | ergy eff | ficiency | |
| 7.3.1 Energy intensity | 7.3.1.1 GDP energy intensity | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | G3. Energy intensity |
| measured in terms of | (toe per 1000 dollars USA in prices | | | | | | | | | |
| primary energy and | of 2000) | - | 1,78 | 1,62 | 1,69 | 1,52 | 1,53 | 1,54 | 1,53 | |
| GDP | | | | | | | | | | |
| SDG target 9.1 Develop | o quality, reliable, sustainable and res | ilient in | frastru | ture, ir | ncludin | g region | al and t | trans-b | order infr | astructure, to support economic |
| | development and human wel | l-being, | with a | focus o | n affor | dable ar | nd equit | table a | ccess for a | II |
| 9.1. 2 Passenger and | National indicator corresponds to | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | H1. Passenger transport |
| freight volumes, by | the global indicator: | | | | | | | | | demand; |
| mode of transport | - transported freight by all modes | | | | | | | | | H2. Freight transport demand. |
| | of transport (million tons): | | | | | | | | | |
| | - transported passengers by all | 2439 | 2975 | 3232 | 3508 | 3750 | 3734 | 3729 | 3916 | |
| | modes of transport (million | | | | | | | | | |
| | people): | 13186 | 16647 | 18485 | 2000 | 4 21281 | 21839 | 22333 | 3 22720 | |
| | - transported freight by road and | | | | | | | | | |
| | city electric transport (million | | | | | | | | | |
| | tons): | 1972 | 2476 | 2718 | 2983 | 3129 | 3174 | 3181 | 3901 | |
| | - transported freight by air | | | | | | | | | |
| | (million tons): | 28870 | 31555 | 21954 | 23874 | 19082 | 17178 | 18016 | 22450 | |
| | - transported passengers by air | | | | | | | | | |
| | (million people): | 3379 | 4131 | 4512 | 4986 | 5435 | 5923 | 6022 | 7352 | |
| | - performance indicators of | | | | | | | | | |
| | pipeline transport (million tons): | 194,0 | 214,1 | 106,9 | 225,9 | 225,0 | 214,6 | 205,8 | 232,8 | |
| | - performance indicators of sea | | | | | | | | | |
| | and coastal transport (million | | | | | | | | | |
| | tons): | 4,7 | 4,6 | 4,0 | 4,0 | 3,6 | 2,5 | 2,6 | 2,1 | |

| | T | capabilities | T-2 |
|---------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|
| 9.4.1 CO2 emission per | | No data | B3. Greenhouse gas emissions |
| unit of value added | | | |
| SDG target 11.3 By 203 | | e urbanization and capacity for participatory, integrated and | sustainable human settlement |
| 11.3.1 Ratio of land | pianr | ning and management in all countries No data | E1. Land uptake; |
| consumption rate to | | NO data | E2. Area affected by soil |
| oopulation growth rate | | | erosion. |
| • | I I reduce the adverse per capita envi | ronmental impact of cities, including by paying special atter | 0.00.0 |
| 556 talget 11.0 57 2000 | , reduce the daverse per capita envi | and other waste management | icion to an quanty and mamerpa. |
| 11.6.1 1 Proportion of | | I3. Waste reuse and recycling | |
| ırban solid waste | | | I4. Final waste disposal. |
| regularly collected and | | | |
| with adequate final | | | |
| discharge out of total | | | |
| urban waste generated, | | | |
| oy cities | | | |
| 11.6.2 Annual mean | The average annual concentration | 2014 2015 2016 2017 | A2. Ambient air quality in |
| evels of fine particulate | of suspended particles PM 2.5 and | | urban areas. |
| matter (i.e. PM2.5 and | PM 10 in atmospheric air in cities | The data presented are the average annual concentrations | |
| PM10) in cities | where observations are made (in | of fine particles: | |
| (population weighted) | terms of population) | with a diameter of 2.5 microns in 35 cities and towns; | |
| | | with a diameter of 10 microns in 49 cities and towns. | |
| | SDG target 12.2 By 2030, achieve t | he sustainable management and efficient use of natural res | |
| 12.2.1 Material | | No data | C2. Freshwater abstraction; |
| footprint, material | | | D3. Forests and other |
| footprint per capita, | | | wooded land; |
| | | | E1. Land uptake. |

| and material footprint | | | | | | | | ٦ |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------|----------------|--------------------------------|
| per GDP | | | | | | | | |
| 12.2.2 Domestic | | N | lo data | | | | | C3. Total water use; |
| material consumption, | | IN | io uata | | | | | G1. Final energy |
| domestic material | | | | | | | | consumption; |
| consumption per | | | | | | | | G5. Final electricity |
| capita, and domestic | | | | | | | | consumption |
| material | | | | | | | | (indicator is not currently |
| | | | | | | | | developed) |
| consumption per GDP | 20. achieve the anninementally acr | | a.u.t. a.f. al | h a :::: a a la a | مريد الماميد | | | |
| | 20, achieve the environmentally sou | _ | | | | | - | - |
| agreed international fra | meworks, and significantly reduce th | | to air, wa he enviror | | ıı ın oraer | to minin | lize their adv | verse impacts on numan nearth |
| 12.1.2.11 | 12.4.2.4. Harrandana marka | I | | | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 12 Managara of harandana |
| 12.4.2 Hazardous waste | 12.4.2.1. Hazardous waste | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 12. Management of hazardous |
| generated per capita | generation (million tons / year): | 355,9 | 382,2 | 337,4 | 251,6 | 151,4 | 126,9 | waste; |
| and proportion of | 12.1.2.2.7.1 | | | | | | | 13. Waste reuse and recycling. |
| hazardous waste | 12.4.2.2. Total waste of all hazard | | | | | | | |
| treated, by type of | levels (in thousands of tons at the | | | | | | | |
| treatment | end of the year) | | | | | | | |
| | of which according to the danger | | | | | | | |
| | lists: | | | | | | | |
| | - red: | 101,0 | 97,6 | 94,5 | 90,8 | 91,0 | 86,3 | |
| | - amber: | 1181478 | | 1916840 | | | 1258696 | |
| | - green: | l . | | | | | 1646076 | |
| | target 12.5 By 2030 substantially red | | | | • | | | |
| 12.5.1 National | 12.5.1.1 The share of recycling | 2 | 014 | 2015 | 2016 | 201 | 7 | I2. Management of |
| recycling rate, tons of | and disposal of industrial waste to | | | | | | | hazardous waste; |
| material recycled | their generation (in %): | 18 | 3,00 | 23,12 | 26,80 | 30,9 | 1 | I3. Waste reuse and |
| | | | | | | | | recycling; |
| | 12.5.1.2. The share of recycling | | | | | | | 14. Final waste disposal. |
| | and disposal of municipal solid | | | | | | | |
| | waste to their generation (in %): | 2.0 |)5 | 1,80 | 2,60 | 9,05 | 5 | |

| | ; | and nutrient pollut | ion | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|------------------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 14.1.1 Index of coastal | | No data | | | C12. Nutrients in coastal |
| eutrophication and | | | | | seawaters. |
| floating plastic debris | | | | | |
| density | | | | | |
| SDG target 14.5 By 2020 |), conserve at least 10 per cent of coastal a | | | national and inter | national law and based on the be |
| | | able scientific info | | | 1 |
| 14.5.1 1 Coverage of | The indicator is n | ot in the list of nati | onal indicators. | | D1. Protected areas |
| protected areas in | | | | | |
| relation to marine | | | | | |
| areas | | | | | |
| • | 0, ensure the conservation, restoration an | | | | • |
| • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | articular forests, wetlands, mountains and | • | | | |
| 15.1.1 Forest area as a | National indicator corresponds to | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | D3. Forests and other |
| proportion of total land | the global indicator (in %): | | | | wooded land. |
| area | | 4,6 | 4,6 | 4,7 | |
| 15.1.2 Proportion of | National indicator corresponds to | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | D1.Protected areas. |
| important sites for | the global indicator (in %): | | | | |
| terrestrial and | | 2,4 | 2,4 | 2,4 | |
| freshwater biodiversity | | | | | |
| that are covered by | | | | | |
| protected areas, | | | | | |
| by ecosystem type | | | | | |
| SDG target 15.2 By 202 | 20, promote the implementation of sustain | • | • • | - | estation, restore degraded forest |
| | and substantially incre | | | <u> </u> | |
| 15.2.1 Progress | 15.2.1.1. National indicator | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | D3. Forests and other |
| towards sustainable | corresponds to the global | | | | wooded land. |
| forest management | indicator (annual planting volume | | | | |
| | in million hectares) | 60,2 | 57,0 | 57,4 | |

| | to achiev | e a land degradation | n neutral world | | |
|--|--|---|----------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| 15.3.1 Proportion of | | No data | | | E2 Area affected by soil |
| land that is degraded | | | | | erosion. |
| over total land area | | | | | |
| SDG target 15.4 By 2 | 030, ensure the conservation of mountain | n ecosystems, inclu | ding their biodiv | ersity, in order to enh | ance their capacity to provide |
| | benefits that a | e essential for sus | tainable develop | ment | |
| 15.4.1 Coverage by | 15.4.1.1.Площадь горных лесов | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | D1 Protected areas. |
| protected areas of | (в тыс. га): | 5663,6 | 5663,6 | 5699,2 | |
| important sites for | | | | | |
| mountain biodiversity | | | | | |
| SDG target 15.5 Take | urgent and significant action to reduce th | _ | | | ersity and, by 2020, protect and |
| | | ne extinction of the | • | | |
| L5.5.1 Red List Index | 15.5.1.1 The list of rare and | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | D4 Threatened and |
| | endangered species of plants and | | | | protected species. |
| | | | | | protected species. |
| | animals included (units): | | | | protected species. |
| | animals included (units): - plant species: | 387 | 387 | 387 | protected species. |
| | animals included (units): | 387 224 | 387 224 | 387 224 | protected species. |
| SDG target 15.8 By 202 | animals included (units): - plant species: - animal species:. 20, introduce measures to prevent the int | 224 roduction and sign | 224 ificantly reduce | 224 the impact of invasive | |
| SDG target 15.8 By 202 | animals included (units): - plant species: - animal species:. 20, introduce measures to prevent the int | 224 | 224 ificantly reduce | 224 the impact of invasive | |
| 15.8.1 Proportion of | animals included (units): - plant species: - animal species:. 20, introduce measures to prevent the int | 224 roduction and sign | 224 ificantly reduce | 224 the impact of invasive | |
| 15.8.1 Proportion of | animals included (units): - plant species: - animal species:. 20, introduce measures to prevent the int | 224 roduction and sign I control or eradica | 224 ificantly reduce | 224 the impact of invasive | alien species on land and wate |
| 15.8.1 Proportion of countries adopting | animals included (units): - plant species: - animal species:. 20, introduce measures to prevent the int | 224 roduction and sign I control or eradica | 224 ificantly reduce | 224 the impact of invasive | alien species on land and water |
| 15.8.1 Proportion of countries adopting relevant national | animals included (units): - plant species: - animal species:. 20, introduce measures to prevent the int | 224 roduction and sign I control or eradica | 224 ificantly reduce | 224 the impact of invasive | alien species on land and water D6 Invasive alien species (indicator is not current) |
| L5.8.1 Proportion of countries adopting relevant national egislation and | animals included (units): - plant species: - animal species:. 20, introduce measures to prevent the int | 224 roduction and sign I control or eradica | 224 ificantly reduce | 224 the impact of invasive | alien species on land and water D6 Invasive alien species (indicator is not current) |
| 15.8.1 Proportion of countries adopting relevant national legislation and adequately resourcing | animals included (units): - plant species: - animal species:. 20, introduce measures to prevent the int | 224 roduction and sign I control or eradica | 224 ificantly reduce | 224 the impact of invasive | alien species on land and water D6 Invasive alien species (indicator is not current) |
| SDG target 15.8 By 202 15.8.1 Proportion of countries adopting relevant national legislation and adequately resourcing the prevention or control of invasive alien | animals included (units): - plant species: - animal species:. 20, introduce measures to prevent the interpretation ecosystems and | 224 roduction and sign I control or eradica | 224 ificantly reduce | 224 the impact of invasive | alien species on land and water D6 Invasive alien species (indicator is not current) |

| 15.9.1 1 Progress | No data | D4. Threatened and |
|--------------------------|---------|--------------------|
| towards national | | protected species. |
| targets established in | | |
| accordance with Aichi | | |
| Biodiversity Target 2 of | | |
| the Strategic Plan for | | |
| Biodiversity 2011-2020 | | |

A source of information:

http://stat.gov.kz/faces/wcnav_externalId/mainR_SDG_goals?_afrLoop=5147549945854980#%40%3F_afrLoop%3D5147549945854980%26_adf.ctrl-state%3Dbf87slix6_213_

Table colour key:

- blue national indicators, fully consistent with the global SDG indicators
- black national indicators corresponding to the global SDG indicators with minor changes
- green alternative national indicators
- brown additional national indicators
- red not developed national indicators for global SDG indicators

Зеленая экономика в Казахстане

Казахстан одной из первых стран в мире, на государственном уровне принял стратегический документ «Концепция по переходу Республики Казахстан к «зеленой» экономике». Документ утвержден Указом Президента Республики Казахстан от 30 мая 2013 года № 577. Данная Концепция закладывает основы для глубоких системных преобразований с целью перехода к «зеленой» экономике посредством повышения благосостояния, качества жизни населения Казахстана и вхождения страны в число 30-ти наиболее развитых стран мира при минимизации нагрузки на окружающую среду и деградации природных ресурсов.

Концепция призвана обеспечить более гармоничное согласование компонентов экономики, общества и природы в рамках парадигмы устойчивого развития. Целевыепоказатели, нормы и мероприятия «зеленой» экономики включаются в законодательные акты и программные документы и являются ориентирами для всех уровней власти и всех секторов гражданского общества.

В Концепции рассматриваются семь ключевых направлений:

- Развитие возобновляемых источников энергии;
- Энергосбережение и энергоэффективность;
- Развитие устойчивого и эффективного органического сельского хозяйства;
- Управление отходами;
- Рациональное использование водных ресурсов;
- Развитие «зеленого» транспорта;
- Сохранение и эффективное управление экосистемами.

Ожидается, что внедрение зеленых технологий позволит повысить энергоэффективность экономики Казахстана на 40-60% и сократить потребление воды на 50%²⁰.

Реализация Концепции планируется в три этапа:

первый этап - 2013—2020 гг. — оптимизация использования ресурсов и повышение эффективности природоохранной деятельности, а также создание «зеленой» инфраструктуры;

второй этап - 2020–2030 гг. – рациональное использование природных ресурсов, внедрение возобновляемой энергетики на базе высоких технологий;

третий этап - 2030–2050 гг. – переход национальной экономики на принципы «третьей промышленной революции», в основу которой положено использование природных ресурсов в случае их возобновляемости²¹.

_

²⁰ https://sk.kz/upload/iblock/3f5/3f5f8e2087688517bcc667eeebc82630.pdf

²¹ https://www.greenkaz.org

Основными приоритетными задачами по переходу к «зеленой» экономике, стоящими перед страной, являются²²:

- 1) повышение эффективности использования ресурсов (водных, земельных, биологических и др.) и управления ими;
- 2) модернизация существующей и строительство новой инфраструктуры;
- 3) повышение благополучия населения и качества окружающей среды через рентабельные пути смягчения давления на окружающую среду;
- 4) повышение национальной безопасности, в том числе водной безопасности.

В международном сотрудничестве Казахстан присоединился к Декларации ОЭСР по «зеленому» росту. Проведен политический диалог между Правительством Республики Казахстан и ОЭСР, направленный на сохранение и восстановление экосистемы, рациональное использование природных ресурсов за счет внедрения ресурсо- водно-, энергосберегающих и альтернативных технологий, и мобилизации зеленых финансов. К 2016 году из 54 показателей «зеленого» роста ОЭСР, Казахстаном разработаны 30 показателей; Использованиепоказателей направлено на обеспечение комплексного охвата важнейших характеристик «зеленого» роста²³.

В 2018 году Комитетом по статистике разработано уже 38 показателей ОЭСР. Показатели на ежегодной основе обновляются и публикуются на официальном сайте Комитета.

Постановлением Правительства от 30 июля 2018 года №472 подписано Соглашение между Правительством Республики Казахстан и ОЭСР о реализации проекта "Внедрение показателей «зеленого» роста и подготовка Доклада по» зеленому» росту в Казахстане". В рамках Соглашения, ОЭСР окажет поддержку Казахстану в подготовки национального Доклада, основанного на страновых показателях «зеленого» роста..

Казахстан также предпринимает шаги на пути к «зеленой» экономике, начав инициативу «Зеленый мост», направленную на продвижение « зеленой» экономики в Европе и Азиатско-Тихоокеанском регионе 24 .

«Зеленая экономика» является одним из важных инструментов обеспечения устойчивого развития страны. Переход к «зеленой экономике» позволит Казахстану обеспечить достижение поставленной цели по вхождению в число 30-ти наиболее развитых стран мира.

²² https://strategy2050.kz/static/files/Concept_Rus.pdf

²³ http://economy.gov.kz/ru/pages/040517-statya-zelenaya-ekonomika-standarty-oesr-aday-nygmanov

²⁴ https://www.greenkaz.org/index.php/informatsiya/zelenaya-economika